RESULTS OF LETTERS PRIOR TO PUBLIC CONSULTATION & PUBLIC CONSULTATION RE. EAST BEACH CARAVAN SITE APPLICATION

A. Local Residents prior to public consultation (due to Press articles)

(16 resident responses overall, note 1 was outside of the area due to be consulted)

B. Local Residents <u>following</u> public consultation

(Overall, 18 responses, note 6 were outside of the area consulted and 1 unknown)

- 1 129 Gunner's Road
- 1 56 Blackgate Road
- 1 50 East Beach Caravan Park

Of the responses, 5 residents had also made written responses prior to public consultation.

C. Shoebury Residents Association

Poir	nts in Favour of Proposals	A. <u>Prior</u> Number in Favour	B. <u>After</u> Number in Favour
1.	Don't wish for site to be used as a touring and campsite (due to noise, late night noise, rubbish, drunkenness etc)	4	Favour
2.	Value the peace and quiet that residential would bring rather than static holiday, touring and camping	5	
3.	Finished units will look attractive	1	
4.	Additional Council tax income from residential units	3	
5.	Greater number of 'like-minded' senior residents living in the vicinity	1	
6.	Contributes to the local economy	1	
7.	Don't wish for site to be used as a touring and campsite as then gates would be open all day and be an open invitation to travelers	1	
Grounds of Objection to proposals		A. <u>Prior</u> Number of Objectors	B. <u>After</u> Number of Objectors
1.	Concern over the loss of the only available holiday static, touring and camping accommodation facility for visitors to the town.	7	10
2.	Concern over the length of time the site will take to develop (over several years as in the case of South site) ongoing construction noise, unfinished look)	1	1

3.	Concern over Environmental protection factors (e.g. construction noise, light pollution, increased noise from greater number of residents, odour)	3	4
4.	Static holiday, touring and camping would contribute (more) to the local economy	1	1
5.	The erection of more homes in the area and/or when empty dwellings remain in town	1	1
6.	Concern over park homes obstructing an existing view / appearance of park homes / high density of park homes proposed	2	7
7.	Devalues existing property prices	2	5
8.	Increase in usage to local infrastructure / local road traffic / congestion	3	4
9.	Less privacy to existing homes	1	1
10.	Park operator hasn't sold all the units on the South site yet	1	
11.	Greatly destroy the habitat for local wildlife	1	1
12.	Tingdene haven't complied with existing site licence conditions / 2005 agreement		3
13.	Believe a touring and camping site would benefit the local economy more		2

C. Shoebury Residents Association (E-mail of objection from Vice-Chair) following consultation

The Association's objection to the variations is that the implication of agreeing the variations will be to reduce the potential of Southend-on-Sea, and especially Shoeburyness, to be an attractive tourist destination permanently by removing the Shoeburyness East Beach touring site for use by touring caravans and by campers.

The council has spent significant monies and effort to improve the East Beach area, and it is a pity that more people will not be able to benefit from these investments.

The Association is anxious to do all it can to improve the prosperity of Shoeburyness, and particularly to provide more custom for the shops in Shoeburyness, thereby encouraging local employment, especially of our young people.

Without this site, there will be nowhere for our visitors to camp or bring their caravans within Shoeburyness.

Potential visitors to Shoeburyness are directed to visit the site at Wallasea to the detriment of the economy of Shoeburyness and Southend-on-Sea Borough.